LANGUAGE ACQUISITION VS. LANGUAGE LEARNING: IMPLICATIONS FOR TEACHING

Ziyoda Saparbayeva Rustamboy qizi
Student of Uzbekistan State World
Languages University

Abstract: *The distinction between language learning and acquisition is discussed in this article along with how it affects language teaching strategies. While language learning is a cognitive process frequently employed in formal education, language acquisition refers to the organic, subconscious process of learning a language, usually in early childhood. The theoretical foundations of both procedures are covered in the article, along with instructional techniques that can help language learners at various stages.*

Keywords: *language acquisition, language learning, language teaching, second language acquisition, teaching methodology, immersion, structured instruction.*

Introduction:

In linguistics, language learning and acquisition are two different but connected ideas. While language learning is a more intentional, conscious effort frequently associated with formal instruction, language acquisition usually refers to the subconscious process of picking up a language, typically in early childhood. Since it influences the selection of instructional strategies and resources, knowing the distinction between these two processes is essential for language instruction. The main distinctions between language learning and acquisition will be discussed in this article, along with the implications for language instruction and suggestions for efficient teaching methods.

Research Question:

How do language acquisition and language learning differ, and what are the implications for teaching methodologies?

Methods:

This study employs a qualitative research methodology, reviewing existing literature on the topic of language acquisition and language learning. Sources include research papers, textbooks, and articles from linguistics and education journals. The analysis focuses on theoretical distinctions, instructional strategies, and practical applications in language teaching. A thematic analysis approach is used to identify key findings in the research and their relevance to classroom practice.

Results:

3.1. Language Acquisition vs. Language Learning:

Language Acquisition: The process through which children naturally and subconsciously acquire their first language(s) without formal instruction. This is largely influenced by interaction with caregivers and the environment.

Key features: subconscious, natural, implicit, no formal instruction required.

Example: A child learning their first language through conversation with parents.

Language Learning: A conscious process where learners intentionally study a second language, typically through formal education.

Key features: conscious, explicit, formal instruction, grammar rules are taught.

Example: A student learning English as a second language in a classroom.

Implications for Language Teaching:

For Language Acquisition:

a.   Emphasis on immersion and interaction;

b.   Contextual learning and natural exposure to language;

c.   Use of communicative language teaching (CLT) methods, which encourage real-life conversations.

For Language Learning:

a.   Emphasis on structured instruction, including grammar, vocabulary, and pronunciation;

b.   The use of explicit teaching methods like the grammar-translation method or task-based language teaching (TBLT).

Discussion:

The findings suggest that while both language acquisition and language learning share the ultimate goal of language proficiency, their processes are fundamentally different, which affects how language should be taught. Teachers must be mindful of the differences when designing curriculum and selecting instructional strategies.

Implications for Teaching Young Learners: For younger students or beginners, methods that replicate natural acquisition (e.g., CLT or immersion) might be more effective. Learners benefit from rich exposure to the language in context, such as through storytelling, interactive games, or social interaction.

Implications for Teaching Older Learners: For adult learners or more advanced students, a structured approach that includes explicit instruction may be necessary. Techniques such as grammar instruction, error correction, and drills might help learners gain a deeper understanding of the language's structure.

Moreover, educators should not view language acquisition and language learning as separate or opposing processes. Instead, they can integrate elements of both approaches in a hybrid model, leveraging the strengths of each to support learners at different stages of development.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, how language teaching methods are shaped is greatly influenced on the difference between language acquisition and language learning. Teachers can modify their approaches to better suit the preferences of their pupils by being aware of the differences between these processes. While conscious, formal instruction is supported by language learning approaches, natural, implicit learning is encouraged by acquisition-based strategies. Language outcomes of learning can be maximized by using a well-rounded strategy that combines the two approaches.

<div align="center">

REFERENCES:

</div>

1. Krashen, S. (1982). Principles and Practice in Second Language Acquisition. Pergamon Press.

2. Ellis, R. (2008). The Study of Second Language Acquisition. Oxford University Press.

3. Lightbown, P. M., & Spada, N. (2013). How Languages are Learned. Oxford University Press.

4. Chomsky, N. (1965). Aspects of the Theory of Syntax. MIT Press.

5. Cook, V. (2008). Second Language Learning and Language Teaching. Routledge.